Solubility and Bioaccessibility of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in Slim Coffee Infusions by *in vitro* Gastrointestinal Digestion

Anna Szymczycha-Madeja,* Maja Welna and Pawel Pohl

Department of Analytical Chemistry and Chemical Metallurgy, Faculty of Chemistry, Wroclaw University of Technology, Smoluchowskiego 23, 50-372 Wroclaw, Poland

Solubility and bioaccessibility of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in slim coffees were investigated using an *in vitro* method. Two different compositions of solutions simulating gastric and intestinal juices were tested: SGJ1 + SIJ1 (0.02% pepsin in 0.10 mol L⁻¹ HCl; 0.015% pancreatin with 0.15% bile salts in 0.10 mol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃) and SGJ2 + SIJ2 (0.32% pepsin with 0.20% NaCl in 0.08 mol L⁻¹ HCl; 0.40% pancreatin with 2.5% bile salts in 0.10 mol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃). Soluble and bioaccessible fractions (in %) in reference to total concentrations of studied elements in infusions were as follows: Ba (81.2, 34.2), Ca (84.4, 44.5), Cr (80.1, 44.8), Cu (69.2, 24.1), Fe (72.5, 6.6), Mg (89.5, 69.6), Mn (44.3, 28.4), P (96.6, 84.6), Sr (85.9, 46.8), Zn (80.8, 59.5). These results suggest that slim coffees are not a rich source of minerals.

Keywords: slim coffee, solubility, bioaccessibility, in vitro gastrointestinal digestion, ICP OES

Introduction

The information on the total concentration of elements, i.e., minerals, micronutrients or trace elements, in food and beverages is usually used to assess their quality and safety or to differentiate types of these products. On the other hand, results of the total content analysis are commonly considered to judge the nutritional value of food and the degree of the coverage of daily dietary intakes of various elements. Unfortunately, such a treatment is not entirely justified and cannot be either decisive when considering the uptake of elements from food and their role in the human nutrition. The uptake of elements depends on their contents in food products and beverages and mechanisms of their intake from the gastrointestinal tract. Metal ions released from digested food products and beverages can exist in the intestine in the form of labile species, and/or soluble complexes with various simple inorganic ligands.¹ The nature of such complexes allows to quickly transfer metal ions to the specific pumps or channels located in the walls of intestine cells.² In view of this, the estimation of the bioaccessibility of elements is quite important and should be evaluated through the in vitro assay under conditions simulating the physiology of the stomach and intestines.

So far, different *in vitro* methods have been used to predict the bioaccessibility of elements from a few food products and beverages, including human, cow, goat, sheep and soybean milks,³⁻⁶ infant formulas,^{2,3} baby foods,⁷ milk-based fruit beverages,⁸ chocolate drink powder,⁹ fruit juices,¹⁰⁻¹² fruits and vegetables,¹³ honeys,¹⁴ tea,¹⁵ herbal medicines,¹⁶ hazelnuts, walnuts and kernels,¹ whole grain tea-biscuits,¹⁷ school meals,¹⁸ bread,^{13,19} white cheeses¹³ and edible seaweeds.²⁰

Unfortunately, standardized or validated methods of the bioaccessibility assays are not available. In the majority of works, a two-step procedure with solutions of amylase, pepsin, pancreatin, bile salts and inorganic compounds or normal gastric juices was used to simulate the digestive process occurring in the stomach and the intestine. The gastric digestion was usually imitated using solutions of pepsin at concentrations in the range of 0.001-16%. This enzyme solution was prepared in a dilute HCl solution (pH ca. 2). The next step, mimicking the intestinal digestion, was frequently carried out using a mixture of pancreatin and bile salts adjusted to pH ca. 7 by the addition of a Na₂CO₃ solution (0.1 or 1.0 mol L⁻¹). The concentrations of pancreatin and bile salts were typically within the range of 0.015-3.04% and 0.15-2.8%, respectively. All the gastrointestinal digestions were performed at 37 °C, which is coincident with a normal temperature of the human body. The incubation time with gentle shaking

^{*}e-mail: anna.szymczycha@pwr.wroc.pl

(e.g., in a water bath), mimicking gastric and intestinal peristalsis, was typically in the range of 50 min-4 h and 30 min-24 h, respectively. Nevertheless, in most published works 2 h incubations have been used. After the *in vitro* digestion, elements released into solution were separated at each step by centrifugation, ultrafiltration and/or dialysis. The detailed information about reported experimental conditions of *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestions, used to prepare different food samples prior to their elemental analysis by atomic spectrometry methods, is given in the Supplementary Information (Table S1).

Nowadays, an interest in the consumption of specific coffees, e.g., slim coffees, can be observed. These particular coffees, being a mixture of instant coffee and various additional bioactive components, are preferred by people, who wish to reduce their weight. In our recent study,²¹ a simple and fast method of the multi-element analysis of these products using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP OES) was developed and validated for the first time. Therefore, due to a growing popularity in consumption of such functional beverages and their intake, we have decided to extend our recent investigation by determining the solubility and the bioaccessibility of physiologically and nutritionally important macro- (Ca, Mg, P), essential trace (Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn) and non-essential trace (Ba, Cr, Sr) elements in slim coffee products. An in vitro gastrointestinal digestion method was used under conditions selected based on the mentioned comparison made for different food products and beverages.

Experimental

Samples

Six instant slim coffee products were selected for analysis. All coffees were purchased from a local pharmacy (Wrocław, Poland). A detailed description of analyzed coffee products is below: (i) Slim Coffee Classic (SCC). Ingredients: instant chicory, maltodextrin, guarana extract, Garcina cambogia extract containing hydroxycitric acid, green tea extract, tiramisu aroma; (ii) Slim Coffee Detox (SCDe). Ingredients: instant chicory, maltodextrin, wheat dextrin Nutriose®, guarana extract containing caffeine, fig prickly pear cactus extract CactilNeaTM, Bacillus coagulans LactoSpore[™] culture, ginger; (iii) Slim Coffee Diabetic (SCDi). Ingredients: instant chicory, palatinose (isomaltulose), white mulberry extract, ginseng extract, cinnamon bark extract, cinnamon; (iv) Slim Coffee (SC). Ingredients: caffeine, guarana extract, Camellia sinensis extract, Garcina cambogia fruits extract containing hydroxycitric acid, instant chicory; (*v*) Coffee Slimmer (CS). Ingredients: instant coffee (*Coffea arabica*), green coffee extract (*Coffea robusta*), chromium chloride; (*vi*) Green Coffee (GC). Ingredients: instant coffee substitute (roasted barley and rye), fiber (inulin), instant coffee, green coffee extract containing chlorogenic acid, L-carnitine, aroma.

Several packages of a certain brand of slim coffee were randomly selected, then mixed together to prepare a laboratory sample. Analytical samples were taken from laboratory samples. Before the analysis, laboratory samples were stored in polyethylene (PE) containers at 4 °C.

Reagents

All chemicals were of analytical grade. A concentrated HCl solution and solid NaHCO₃ and NaCl were supplied by POCH SA (Gliwice, Poland). Pepsin from porcine gastric mucosa (800-2500 units mg⁻¹ of protein), pancreatin from porcine pancrease, and bile salts were provided by Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Steinheim, Germany). A multielement (1000 µg mL⁻¹) ICP standard solution was supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). For gastrointestinal digestion, solutions of simulated gastric (SGJ) and intestinal (SIJ) juices were prepared using solid reagents. Freshly prepared solutions of both digestive enzymes were used in experiments. Working standard solutions of studied elements (Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn) were used to prepare multi-element matrix-matching (in reference to amounts of SGJ and SIJ solutions) standard solutions for calibration. Deionized water (18.3 MQ cm) was obtained from an EASYpureTM water purification system (Barnstead Thermolyne Corporation, Dubuque, IA, USA).

Instrumentation

An inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer JY 38S (Jobin Yvon, Longjumeau, France) was used to measure concentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in all solutions. Operating parameters were as follows: 1.2 kW of a radio frequency (RF) power, 15.0 L min⁻¹ of a plasma flow rate, 0.20 L min⁻¹ of a sheath-gas flow rate and 0.25 L min⁻¹ of a nebulizing gas flow rate and a sample uptake flow rate of 0.75 mL min⁻¹. Sample and standard solutions were introduced into a plasma torch using a Burgener (MiraMist, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) nebulizer and a cyclonic spray chamber. The most prominent analytical lines of Ba II 233.53 nm, Ca I 317.93 nm, Cr II 267.72 nm, Cu I 324.75 nm, Fe II 259.94 nm, Mg I 285.21 nm, Mn II 259.37 nm, P I 214.65 nm, Sr II 407.77 nm and Zn I 213.86 nm were selected for measurements.

An Elpin (Katowice, Poland) thermostatic water bath shaker (type 357) was used in incubation experiments. An MPW-350 centrifuge (MPW Med. Instruments, Warsaw, Poland) was used to separate incubates of coffee treated with SGJ and SIJ solutions. A Novagen U-tube concentrator (Merck) with a molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) filter of 10 kDa was used to separate the bioaccessible fraction (< 10 kDa) of analyzed elements from incubates of slim coffee infusions by ultrafiltration.

Preparation of slim coffee infusion

For preparation of slim coffee infusions, 6.0 g of each coffee were placed in 250 mL Pyrex glass beakers. They were poured with 150 mL of boiled deionized water and stirred. After 10 min of brewing, resulting infusions were filtered through 0.45 μ m syringe filters. For each slim coffee brand, 3 independent infusions were prepared. In addition, respective procedural/reagent blanks were prepared. Resulting infusions were analyzed by ICP OES for total concentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn.

In vitro gastrointestinal digestion of slim coffee infusions

Portions of infusions of slim coffees (20 mL) were adjusted to pH 2 with a 1.0 mol L⁻¹ HCl solution. Then, 3.0 mL of an SGJ solution were added for *in vitro* simulation of gastric digestion. Samples were incubated in a temperature-controlled shaking water bath at 37 °C with agitation (250 rpm) for 2 h. The enzymatic reaction was stopped by placing sample tubes into an ice bath for 10 min. Then, pH of resulting gastric digests was increased to 7.0 by adding an adequate volume of a 0.10 mol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃ solution and 3.0 mL of an SIJ solution were added to simulate intestinal digestion. Incubation was continued for the next 2 h at 37 °C with agitation (250 rpm). To stop the enzymatic reaction, sample tubes were placed for 10 min into the ice bath. Final incubates were centrifuged (10 min, 12.000 rpm), filtered over 0.45 μ m syringe filters and then through 10 kDa MWCO U-tube concentrators. The whole procedure for separating and determining the soluble and the bioaccessible fractions of studied elements is schematically shown in Figure 1.

For each slim coffee brand infusions were prepared in duplicate samples. For each freshly prepared infusion, the gastrointestinal digestion procedure was repeated twice. Collected portions of filtrates (n = 4) ("< 0.45 μ m") and permeates (n = 4) ("< 10 kDa" fraction) were analyzed by ICP OES to evaluate contributions of, respectively, soluble and bioaccessible fractions of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn. Results were averaged and corrected for values of respective procedural blanks.

Contributions of soluble or bioaccessible fractions of elements were calculated as $100 \times B / T$, where B is their concentration (in µg mL⁻¹) determined in filtrates that passed 0.45 µm filters (the soluble fraction) and permeates that passed 10 kDa MWCO ultrafiltration membranes (the bioaccessible fraction) and T is their total concentration determined in infusions (in µg mL⁻¹).

Results and Discussion

Optimization of *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion of slim coffee infusions

To assess contributions of soluble and bioaccessible fractions of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn, one selected instant slim coffee, i.e., Coffee Slimmer, was



Figure 1. A scheme of the in vitro gastrointestinal digestion procedure of slim coffee infusions.

subjected to gastrointestinal digestion taking into account *in vitro* protocols previously reported for different food samples.^{3,5,6,11,14,16,18-20,22} In case of slim coffee products, a mechanical process of chewing was ignored as a coffee brew rapidly passes from mouth to stomach. As recommended by Cautela *et al.*¹⁰ for fruit juices, a digestive process included only gastrointestinal steps. In this study, two different compositions of gastrointestinal solutions were used, i.e., SGJ1 + SIJ1 and SGJ2 + SIJ2.

Results related to concentrations of studied elements in soluble and bioaccessible fractions separated from gastrointestinal digested infusions of slim coffee Coffee Slimmer are presented in Table 1.

As can be seen, precision of results, expressed as the relative standard deviation (RSD), for the soluble fraction of all elements obtained applying SGJ1 with SIJ1 solutions are comparable to this achieved when using SGJ2 with SIJ2 solutions. RSDs vary from 1.8 to 4.4% and 1.1 to 6.8% for SGJ1 with SIJ1 and SGJ2 with SIJ2 solutions, respectively. The only exception is Cr, for which evidently higher RSDs, i.e., 17 and 16%, were achieved when using SGJ1 with SIJ1 and SGJ2 with SIJ2, respectively. In case of the bioaccessible fraction of studied elements, precision obtained when using both compositions of gastrointestinal solutions were comparable and ranged between 2.6-7.7% and 2.1-8.3%, respectively for SGJ1 with SIJ1 and SGJ2 with SIJ2. Only for Fe, higher RSDs were obtained (11 and 12% for SGJ1 with SIJ1 and SGJ2 with SIJ2 solutions, respectively), probably due to a low concentration of this element in Coffee Slimmer. Additionally, a higher RSD (up to 12%) was found for the determination of the bioaccessible fraction of Cr obtained after the use of SGJ2 with SIJ2 solutions.

Results of percentage contributions of the soluble and the bioaccessible fractions of studied elements, obtained with the aid of two different gastrointestinal solutions, i.e., SGJ1 with SIJ1 and SGJ2 with SIJ2, were compared using the paired *t*-test at the 95% level of significance.²³ Importantly, before the application of the paired *t*-test, the one-tailed Snedecor-Fisher *F*-test was used to compare the standard deviations, because two mean values can be compared using the *t*-test only if irrelevant differences in variance for comparing sets of results (*F*-test) are present. The critical parameter for this test (*F*_{critical}) at the 95.0% significance level (p = 0.05) was 19.00.²³

It was found that in most cases differences between results obtained for the soluble fraction of elements using SGJ1 with SIJ1 and SGJ2 with SIJ2 solutions were statistically insignificant. Calculated values of the paired *t*-test ($t_{calculated}$) were lower than the critical value ($t_{critical}$) equal to 2.447. Only in case of Fe, P and Zn, mentioned differences in percentage contributions of their soluble fraction were statistically significant. For the bioaccessible fraction of elements, only for 4 out of 10 elements investigated here, i.e., Ba, Cu, Mg and Mn, differences between their percentage contributions resulted from applying SGJ1 with SIJ1 and SGJ2 with SIJ2 solutions were also statistically insignificant. For other elements, including Ca, Cr, Fe, P, Sr and Zn, results were statistically significant (see Table S2).

Considering results obtained it seems that concentrations of enzymes in solutions of simulated gastric and intestinal juices are critical for the study of solubility and bioaccessibility of elements from slim coffee products. The highest average percentage contributions of soluble and bioaccessible fractions of elements were obtained

Table 1. Concentrations of elements in the soluble and the bioaccessible fractions distinguished in gastrointestinal digested infusions of slim coffee Coffee Slimmer

	Concentration / (µg mL ⁻¹)										
	Soluble	fraction ^a	Bioaccessible fraction ^b								
	SGJ1 + SIJ1	SGJ2 + SIJ2	SGJ1 + SIJ1	SGJ2 + SIJ2							
Ba	0.110 ± 0.002 (85.3)	0.114 ± 0.005 (88.4)	0.062 ± 0.003 (48.1)	0.066 ± 0.003 (51.2)							
Ca	43.3 ± 1.8 (86.1)	44.8 ± 1.6 (89.1)	$19.7 \pm 0.7 (39.2)$	23.6 ± 0.5 (46.9)							
Cr	0.104 ± 0.018 (77.6)	0.115 ± 0.018 (85.8)	0.039 ± 0.003 (29.1)	0.060 ± 0.007 (44.8)							
Cu	0.114 ± 0.004 (86.4)	0.118 ± 0.008 (89.4)	0.038 ± 0.001 (28.8)	0.036 ± 0.003 (27.3)							
Fe	0.708 ± 0.021 (67.4)	0.767 ± 0.038 (73.1)	0.047 ± 0.005 (4.5)	0.034 ± 0.004 (3.2)							
Mg	$84.0 \pm 1.9 \ (90.8)$	$83.9 \pm 4.1 \ (90.7)$	62.4 ± 2.7 (67.5)	$65.6 \pm 3.5 (70.9)$							
Mn	0.203 ± 0.009 (47.9)	0.208 ± 0.008 (49.1)	0.104 ± 0.008 (24.5)	0.118 ± 0.009 (27.8)							
Р	$153 \pm 6 (90.0)$	$164 \pm 6 (96.5)$	133 ± 5 (78.2)	$145 \pm 6 (85.3)$							
Sr	0.356 ± 0.010 (92.0)	$0.363 \pm 0.004 (93.8)$	0.180 ± 0.006 (46.5)	$0.197 \pm 0.011 (50.9)$							
Zn	0.132 ± 0.005 (66.0)	0.178 ± 0.006 (89.0)	0.079 ± 0.005 (39.5)	0.124 ± 0.010 (62.0)							

^aAverage value (n = 4) ± standard deviation (SD) (soluble fraction contribution / %); ^baverage value (n = 4) ± SD (bioaccessible fraction contribution / %). SGJ1: 0.02% pepsin in 0.10 mol L⁻¹ HCl; SIJ1: 0.015% pancreatin with 0.15% bile salts in 0.10 mol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃; SGJ2: 0.32% pepsin with 0.20% sodium chloride in 0.08 mol L⁻¹ HCl; SIJ2: 0.40% pancreatin with 2.5% bile salts in 0.10 mol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃. with the use of SGJ2 with SIJ2 solutions. Therefore, this composition of gastrointestinal solutions was chosen to examine other slim coffees analyzed here.

Solubility of elements from slim coffee infusions

Table 2 presents concentrations of studied elements in infusions of slim coffees, and soluble ("< 0.45 μ m") and bioaccessible ("< 10 kDa") fractions as separated and determined in infusions by the gastrointestinal digestion procedure developed here. Additionally, percentage contributions of soluble and bioaccessible fractions of elements are given.

As it can be seen, precision of measurements varies from 0.2 to 6.8%. The only exception is Cr, for which RSDs exceed 10%. Limits of detection (LODs) of elements, calculated as concentrations corresponding to signals equal to 3 times standard deviations of 10 replicates of respective blank solutions (3σ criterion), determined for the soluble fraction were 2.5 (Ba), 17 (Ca), 0.90 (Cu), 1.5 (Cr), 0.92 (Fe), 2.0 (Mg), 0.66 (Mn), 146 (P), 0.12 (Sr) and 1.6 (Zn) ng mL⁻¹.

In general, concentrations of elements in the soluble fraction likely varied due to a coffee brand and contribution of different ingredients. The highest concentrations of most elements in the soluble fraction were determined in Coffee Slimmer (Ba, Cr, Cu, Mg, P, Sr) and Slim Coffee (Ca, Fe, Mn, Zn). The lowest contents of elements in this fraction were found in Green Coffee (Ca, Fe, Mg, Mn, Sr, Zn). The concentration of Cr in Slim Coffee Detox, Slim Coffee Diabetic, Green Coffee was below its LOD.

It was established that solubility (%) of macro-elements fulfilled the following order: P > Mg > Ca. The exception was Slim Coffee Detox, for which a different relation was noticed, i.e., $P > Ca \approx Mg$. Solubility of essential trace elements, i.e., Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn, can be arranged as follows: Zn > Fe > Cu > Mn. In case of Slim Coffee Classic and Coffee Slimmer, solubility of mentioned elements followed another pattern: Cu > Zn > Fe > Mn. The relation between solubilities of non-essential trace elements differed markedly between types of slim coffees and could be ascribed: Sr > Ba > Cr for Slim Coffee Diabetic, Slim Coffee and Coffee Slimmer, Ba > Sr > Cr for Slim Coffee Detox and Green Coffee, and Sr > Cr > Ba for Slim Coffee Classic.

None of elements was 100% soluble because average contributions of their soluble fractions ranged between 44.3-96.6%. The highest contributions of the soluble fraction (> 85%) were established for Mg and P. Solubility of Ca was slightly lower and varied within 68.0-91.0%. Solubility of Sr (79.8-94.2%), Zn (74.8-89.0%) and

Ba (72.6-88.4%) was also high. In case of Cu, the average contribution of this fraction was 69.2% with the lowest and highest values of 48.0 and 89.4%, respectively. Solubility of Fe was lower and amounted from 57.6 to 78.4%. The lowest contribution of the soluble fraction was noted for Mn, i.e., from 39.7 to 49.6%. Significant discrepancies were also noticed for Cr. Concentrations of this element in the soluble fraction changed from not detected (ND) in Slim Coffee Detox, Slim Coffee Diabetic and Green Coffee to 0.009-0.115 μ g mL⁻¹ in Slim Coffee, Slim Coffee Classic and Coffee Slimmer that corresponded to the contribution within 72.7-85.8%.

Bioaccessibility of elements from slim coffee infusions

As can be seen from Table 2, in most cases, precision of replicated measurements is very good and varies from 0.1% (for Mg in Green Coffee) to 9.1% (for Cu in Slim Coffee Classic and Green Coffee). Only for Cr in Coffee Slimmer and for Cu in Slim Coffee Detox and Slim Coffee Diabetic, RSD values are higher, i.e., 12, 10 and 13%, respectively. LODs for elements determined in the bioaccessible fraction were 1.1 (Ba), 17 (Ca), 2.1 (Cu), 1.7 (Cr), 1.6 (Fe), 3.3 (Mg), 0.51 (Mn), 160 (P), 0.08 (Sr) and 1.4 (Zn) ng mL⁻¹.

Bioaccessibility (%) of macro-elements can be arranged in the following descending order: P > Mg > Ca. For essential trace elements, the relation fulfilled the order: Zn > Mn > Cu > Fe. Bioaccessibility of non-essential elements decreased in the following order: Sr > Ba > Cr. It is evident from Table 2 that contributions of the bioaccessible fraction of elements are generally 1- to 3-fold lower than those observed for the soluble fraction. Only in case of Fe, a much higher discrepancy was noticed, i.e., an 8- to 23-fold lower contribution of the bioaccessible fraction than the contribution of the soluble fraction. Such low bioaccessibilities of elements as compared to their solubilities are probably due to the composition of food material, chemical forms of elements, nutrients' interactions including element-element and element-organic content relations, and the presence of inhibitors, such as phytates, dietary fibers, proteins of plant origin, oxalates and polyphenols.^{17,24} Phytates have a strong negative influence on absorption of Ca, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn and Zn because they form insoluble salts with ions of these elements or co-precipitate as phytate complexes.²⁵ Only Cu-phytate complexes are soluble in pH of the gastro-intestinal tract.7,13 High levels of Ca in the diet reduce Fe and Zn absorption. Furthermore, bioaccessibility of Mn is inversely related to the level of Fe and Ca. High intakes of Ca and/or P may also inhibit Cu absorption. Additionally, inhibitors of Cu absorption are sugars, S-amino acids and histidine.7 Poor

ц	
5	
ň	
t	
Ξ	
ō	
Ē.	
ĕ	
at	
11	
- Sa	
5	
Š	
Ś	
<u> </u>	
·.≍	
5	
ĕ	
£	
e	
-	
1	
S	
e e	
- 2	
ğ	
0	
- <u></u>	
0	
þ	
Ţ	
р	
IJ	
с о	
le	
9	
ľ	
6	
Ś	
e	
th	
ŭ	
aı	
\$	
ð	
fe	
F	
ŏ	
č	
Ц	
J	
of	
ns of	
ons of	
sions of	
usions of	
nfusions of	
infusions of	
n infusions of	
i in infusions of	
In infusions of	
Zn in infusions of	
d Zn in infusions of	
ind Zn in infusions of	
and Zn in infusions of	
Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Sr and Zn in infusions of	
P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
In, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
lg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
⁷ e, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
u, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Tr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
a, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
a, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
f Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
s of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
ons of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
ions of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
ations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
rations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
ntrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
entrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
rcentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
oncentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
Concentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
. Concentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
2. Concentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
e 2. Concentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
ble 2. Concentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	
able 2. Concentrations of Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Sr and Zn in infusions of	

				Concentration	1ª / (μg mL ⁻¹)			
				Infus	sion ^a			
	SCC	SCDe	SCDi	SC	CS	GC	Minimum-Maximum	Mean
Ba	0.051 ± 0.001	0.080 ± 0.003	0.085 ± 0.004	0.057 ± 0.003	0.129 ± 0.002	0.082 ± 0.002	0.051-0.129	0.081
Ca	34.8 ± 0.8	36.3 ± 0.4	48.3 ± 1.5	85.7 ± 3.1	50.3 ± 1.6	31.9 ± 1.2	31.9-85.7	47.9
C	0.011 ± 0.001	< LOD ^b	< LOD ^b	0.022 ± 0.002	0.134 ± 0.003	< LOD ^b	< LOD ^b -0.134	0.056
Cu	0.033 ± 0.002	0.037 ± 0.002	0.046 ± 0.003	0.051 ± 0.003	0.132 ± 0.006	0.077 ± 0.005	0.033-0.132	0.063
Fe	2.32 ± 0.01	2.15 ± 0.03	2.10 ± 0.09	3.42 ± 0.09	1.05 ± 0.03	0.772 ± 0.016	0.772-3.42	1.97
Mg	15.9 ± 0.4	18.5 ± 0.3	20.4 ± 0.2	26.1 ± 0.7	92.5 ± 3.4	15.0 ± 0.1	15.0-92.5	31.4
Mn	0.506 ± 0.016	0.327 ± 0.011	0.398 ± 0.002	0.645 ± 0.028	0.424 ± 0.004	0.172 ± 0.002	0.172-0.645	0.412
Ь	54.8 ± 1.0	64.0 ± 2.4	63.2 ± 2.6	89.9 ± 2.6	170 ± 2	130 ± 1	54.8-170	95.3
Sr	0.261 ± 0.012	0.426 ± 0.008	0.342 ± 0.016	0.416 ± 0.016	0.387 ± 0.016	0.247 ± 0.009	0.247-0.426	0.346
Zn	0.245 ± 0.006	0.231 ± 0.011	0.326 ± 0.014	0.324 ± 0.012	0.200 ± 0.002	0.198 ± 0.006	0.198-0.326	0.254
				Soluble 1	fraction ^c			
	SCC	SCDe	SCDi	SC	CS	GC	Minimum-Maximum	Mean
Ba	$0.037 \pm 0.002 \ (72.6)$	$0.064 \pm 0.001 \ (80.0)$	$0.066 \pm 0.001 \ (77.6)$	$0.046 \pm 0.001 \ (80.7)$	$0.114 \pm 0.005 (88.4)$	$0.072 \pm 0.003 (87.8)$	0.037-0.114 (72.6-88.4)	0.067 (81.2)
Ca	$30.7 \pm 1.2 \ (88.2)$	$32.4 \pm 0.1 \ (89.3)$	$38.9 \pm 0.7 \ (80.5)$	$78.0 \pm 1.4 \ (91.0)$	$44.8 \pm 1.6 \ (89.1)$	$21.7 \pm 0.8 \ (68.0)$	21.7-78.0 (68.0-91.0)	41.1 (84.4)
Cr	$0.009 \pm 0.001 \ (81.8)$	$< LOD^{d} (-)^{e}$	$< LOD^{d} (-)^{e}$	$0.016 \pm 0.002 \ (72.7)$	0.115 ± 0.018 (85.8)	$< LOD^{d} (-)^{e}$	<lod<sup>d-0.115 (<72.7-85.8)</lod<sup>	0.047~(80.1)
Cu	$0.028 \pm 0.001 \ (84.8)$	$0.028 \pm 0.001 \ (75.7)$	$0.026 \pm 0.001 \ (56.5)$	$0.031 \pm 0.002 \ (60.8)$	$0.118 \pm 0.008 \ (89.4)$	$0.037 \pm 0.002 \ (48.0)$	0.026 - 0.118 (48.0 - 89.4)	0.045 (69.2)
Fe	$1.82 \pm 0.02 \; (78.4)$	$1.67 \pm 0.02 \; (77.7)$	$1.60 \pm 0.06 \ (76.2)$	$2.46 \pm 0.07 \ (71.9)$	0.767 ± 0.038 (73.1)	$0.445 \pm 0.007 (57.6)$	0.445-2.46 (57.6-78.4)	1.46 (72.5)
Mg	$14.6 \pm 0.4 \ (91.8)$	$16.5 \pm 0.7 \ (89.2)$	$17.7 \pm 0.3 \ (86.8)$	$23.9 \pm 1.2 \ (91.6)$	$83.9 \pm 4.1 \ (90.7)$	$13.0 \pm 0.1 \; (86.7)$	13.0-83.9 (86.7-91.8)	28.3 (89.5)
Mn	$0.251 \pm 0.008 \ (49.6)$	$0.131 \pm 0.003 \ (40.1)$	$0.158 \pm 0.006 \ (39.7)$	$0.281 \pm 0.002 \ (43.6)$	$0.208 \pm 0.008 (49.1)$	$0.075 \pm 0.002 \ (43.6)$	0.075-0.281 (39.7-49.6)	0.184(44.3)
Ь	$53.0 \pm 1.2 \ (96.7)$	$62.1 \pm 0.7 \ (97.0)$	$(61.9 \pm 0.1 \ (97.9)$	$84.9 \pm 2.7 \ (94.4)$	$164 \pm 6 \ (96.5)$	$126 \pm 2 \ (96.9)$	53.0-164 (94.4-97.9)	92.0 (96.6)
Sr	$0.246 \pm 0.003 \ (94.2)$	$0.340 \pm 0.008 \ (79.8)$	$0.282 \pm 0.004 \ (82.5)$	0.343 ± 0.003 (82.4)	$0.363 \pm 0.004 \ (93.8)$	$0.204 \pm 0.001 \ (82.6)$	0.204-0.363 (79.8-94.2)	0.296 (85.9)
Zn	$0.201 \pm 0.008 \ (82.0)$	$0.183 \pm 0.007 \ (79.2)$	$0.251 \pm 0.001 \ (77.0)$	$0.269 \pm 0.001 \ (83.0)$	$0.178 \pm 0.006 \ (89.0)$	$0.148 \pm 0.005 \ (74.8)$	0.148-0.269 (74.8-89.0)	0.205 (80.8)
				Bioaccessib	ole fraction ^f			
	SCC	SCDe	SCDi	SC	CS	GC	Minimum-Maximum	Mean
Ba	0.018 ± 0.001 (35.3)	0.019 ± 0.001 (23.8)	$0.028 \pm 0.001 \ (32.9)$	0.018 ± 0.001 (31.6)	$0.066 \pm 0.003 \ (51.2)$	$0.025 \pm 0.001 \ (30.5)$	0.018-0.066 (23.8-51.2)	0.029 (34.2)
Ca	$18.3 \pm 0.5 \ (52.6)$	$17.1 \pm 0.4 \ (47.1)$	$21.6 \pm 0.1 \ (44.7)$	$44.6 \pm 1.0 \ (52.0)$	$23.6 \pm 0.5 \ (46.9)$	$7.56 \pm 0.14 \ (23.7)$	7.56-44.6 (23.7-52.6)	22.1 (44.5)
C	$< LOD^{g} (-)^{e}$	$< LOD^{g} (-)^{c}$	$< LOD^g (-)^e$	$< LOD^g (-)^e$	$0.060 \pm 0.007 \ (44.8)$	$< LOD^{g} (-)^{e}$	< LOD ^f -0.060 (<44.8)	—e (—)e
Cu	$0.011 \pm 0.001 (33.3)$	$0.010 \pm 0.001 (27.0)$	$0.008 \pm 0.001 \ (17.4)$	$0.013 \pm 0.001 (25.5)$	$0.036 \pm 0.003 (27.3)$	$0.011 \pm 0.001 (14.3)$	0.008 - 0.036 (14.3 - 33.3)	0.015 (24.1)
Fe	$0.215 \pm 0.001 \ (9.3)$	$0.212 \pm 0.007 \ (9.9)$	$0.106 \pm 0.007 (5.1)$	0.227 ± 0.013 (6.6)	$0.034 \pm 0.004 \ (3.2)$	0.042 ± 0.003 (5.4)	0.034-0.227 (3.2-9.9)	0.139(6.6)
Mg	$12.4 \pm 0.1 \; (78.0)$	$14.0 \pm 0.1 \; (75.7)$	$13.5 \pm 0.1 \ (66.2)$	$18.8 \pm 0.8 \ (72.0)$	$65.6 \pm 3.5 \ (70.9)$	$8.24 \pm 0.01 \ (54.9)$	8.24-65.6 (54.9-78.0)	22.1 (69.6)
Mn	$0.178 \pm 0.003 \ (35.2)$	$0.101 \pm 0.004 \ (30.9)$	$0.097 \pm 0.004 \ (24.4)$	$0.200 \pm 0.001 \ (31.0)$	$0.118 \pm 0.009 \ (27.8)$	$0.036 \pm 0.001 \ (20.9)$	0.036-0.200 (20.9-35.2)	0.122(28.4)
Ь	$48.0 \pm 0.9 \ (87.6)$	$57.4 \pm 3.0 \ (89.7)$	$52.8 \pm 1.5 \ (83.5)$	$68.1 \pm 2.4 \; (75.8)$	$145 \pm 6 \ (85.3)$	$111 \pm 2 \ (85.4)$	48.0-145 (75.8-89.7)	80.4(84.6)
Sr	0.146 ± 0.011 (55.9)	$0.207 \pm 0.003 \ (48.6)$	$0.157 \pm 0.006 \ (45.9)$	$0.216 \pm 0.002 \ (51.9)$	0.197 ± 0.011 (50.9)	$0.068 \pm 0.001 \ (27.5)$	0.068-0.216 (27.5-55.9)	0.165(46.8)
Zn	$0.163 \pm 0.008 \ (66.5)$	$0.138 \pm 0.008 \ (59.7)$	$0.167 \pm 0.007 \ (51.2)$	$0.204 \pm 0.012 \ (63.0)$	0.124 ± 0.010 (62.0)	$0.108 \pm 0.003 \ (54.6)$	0.108 - 0.204(51.2 - 66.5)	0.151 (59.5)
^a Avera	ge value $(n = 3) \pm standar$	d deviation (SD); ^b below	the limit of detection (LC	0D) (0.97 ng mL ⁻¹); ^c avera	ige value $(n = 3) \pm SD$ (so	luble fraction contribution	(%); ^d below the limit of detect	ion (1.5 ng mL ⁻¹);
enot ca Diahefi	lculated; ^f average value ($n = 3$) \pm SD (bioaccessit	ole fraction contribution /	' %); ^g below the limit of	detection (1.7 ng mL ⁻¹). S	SCC: Slim Coffee Classic;	SCDe: Slim Coffee Detox; SO	CDi: Slim Coffee

bioaccessibility of Fe in slim coffees can be attributed to the presence of polyphenols, which avidly bind trivalent ions of Fe and prevent their intestinal absorption.¹⁵

The average contribution of the bioaccessible fraction of Ba, Ca, Cu, Cr, Fe, Mn, Sr and Zn was considerably lower than 60%. Only in case of Mg and P, the average contribution of this fraction was higher than 65%. Indeed, both elements were established to be released in the largest quantities under gastro-intestinal conditions. Contributions of the bioaccessible fraction changed from 54.9% (Green Coffee) to 78.0% (Slim Coffee Classic) for Mg and from 75.8% (Slim Coffee) to 89.7% (Slim Coffee Detox) for P. Results obtained for Mg were in a good agreement with those previously reported by Powell *et al.*¹⁵ for black tea infusions. The outcome of bioaccessibility of P was generally comparable to the reported for milk-based fruit beverages,⁸ but about 2.5-fold higher than the reported for chocolate drink powder.⁹

As compared to Mg, quantities of released Mg were lower that corresponded to contributions of the bioaccessible fraction of this element in the range from 23.7% (Green Coffee) to 52.6% (Slim Coffee Classic). Among essential trace elements studied, the highest bioaccessibility was assessed for Zn, i.e., from 51.2% (Slim Coffee Diabetic) to 66.5% (Slim Coffee Classic). Szentmihalyi et al.26 established that bioaccessibility of Zn from herbal remedies was within a little bit lower range, i.e., 42.5-46.1%. Additionally, our results for Zn are significantly higher than those reported for different types of milks,6 infant formulae,4 baby foods7 and school meals,18 where bioaccessibility of Zn was assessed to be within 1-34%. Contributions of the bioaccessible fraction of Mn and Cu were in the range of 20.9-35.2% and 14.3-33.3%, respectively. The highest bioaccessibility of both elements was found in Slim Coffee Classic, whereas the lowest one was in Green Coffee. Obtained results for Mn and Cu are in an agreement with those reported for decoctions of different herbal medicines,¹⁶ but are slightly lower than those obtained in the study of tea infusions.¹⁵ For all analyzed slim teas, bioaccessibility of Fe was the lowest and varied from 3.2% (Coffee Slimmer) to 9.9% (Slim Coffee Detox). This was, however, similar to results on bioaccessibility of Fe found in tea infusions,¹⁵ herbal medicines decoctions,¹⁶ as well as vegetables,¹³ infant formula and human milk,⁴ and school meals.¹⁸

Non-essential elements (Ba, Sr and Cr) were moderately bioaccessible from analyzed slim coffees. Bioaccessibility of Ba ranged from 23.8% (Slim Coffee Detox) to 51.2% (Coffee Slimmer). This value was higher than the one reported for chocolate drink powder,⁹ but in a quite good agreement with a finding of Szentmihalyi *et al.*²⁶ for herbal remedies. Bioaccessibility of Sr was slightly higher than this for Ba and varied between 27.5% (Green Coffee) and 55.9% (Slim Coffee Classic). Chromium was determined only in the bioaccessible fraction in Coffee Slimmer and its bioaccessibility amounted to 44.8%.

All results showed that bioaccessibility of elements such as Ca, Cu, Mg, Mn, Sr and Zn from Green Coffee was much lower than those from other analyzed slim coffees. This could be related to a specific composition of Green Coffee, i.e., the content of barley, rye and fiber, which inhibit absorption of elements.²⁵

Dietary reference intakes (DRIs) for elements (i.e., recommended dietary allowances, tolerable upper intake levels) for individuals in the 31-50 life stage group²⁷ can serve for evaluating nutrition characteristics of examined slim coffees (see Table 3). Based on results of the bioaccessible fraction of elements, it appears that consumption of a cup of different slim coffees (6.0 g of coffee brewed with 150 mL of boiling water) slightly covers DRIs of Ca (0.11-0.67%),

Table 3. Contribution of slim coffee infusions to DRI of examined elements related to the daily consumption of 1 cup of slim coffee

			DRI realization ^b / %												
	$\frac{DRI^{a}}{(mg day^{-1})} - \frac{1}{2}$			Bioaccessible fraction											
			SCC		SCDe		S	CDi	SC		CS		(GC	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Ca	1000	1000	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.32	0.32	0.67	0.67	0.35	0.35	0.11	0.11	
Cr	0.035	0.025	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	25.7	36.0	_	-	
Cu	0.9	0.9	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.22	0.22	0.60	0.60	0.18	0.18	
Fe	8	18	0.40	0.18	0.40	0.18	0.20	0.09	0.42	0.19	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.04	
Mg	420	320	0.44	0.58	0.50	0.66	0.48	0.63	0.67	0.88	2.3	3.1	0.29	0.39	
Mn	2.3	1.8	1.2	1.5	0.66	0.84	0.63	0.81	1.3	1.7	0.77	0.98	0.23	0.30	
Р	700	700	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.4	3.1	3.1	2.4	2.4	
Zn	11	8	0.22	0.31	0.19	0.26	0.23	0.31	0.28	0.38	0.17	0.23	0.15	0.20	

^aDRI: dietary recommended intake for a 31-50 year life stage group (males and females); ^bone cup of slim coffee was considered: 6.0 g of powdered coffee brewed in 150 mLl of water. SCC: Slim Coffee Classic; SCDe: Slim Coffee Detox; SCDi: Slim Coffee Diabetic; SC: Slim Coffee; CS: Coffee Slimmer; GC: Green Coffee.

Cu (0.13-0.60%), Fe (0.03-0.42%), Mg (0.29-3.1%), Mn (0.23-1.7%), P (1.0-3.1%) and Zn (0.15-0.38%). This tends to a conclusion that slim coffee products are not a rich source of macro- and essential elements. Only in case of Cr in Coffee Slimmer (this slim coffee is artificially doped with $CrCl_3$), the concentration of this element in the bioaccessible fraction contributes to the highest DRI realization, i.e., 25.7 and 36.0% for males and females, respectively.

Conclusions

In this work, the solubility and the bioaccessibility of macro- (Ca, Mg, P), essential trace (Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn) and non-essential trace (Ba, Cr, Sr) elements of slim coffee products were evaluated for the first time by the in vitro gastrointestinal digestion. Results of this study demonstrate that concentrations of enzymes in solutions of simulated gastric and intestinal juices are critical for the study of the solubility and the bioaccessibility of these elements. Additionally, the solubility and the bioaccessibility depend on the element studied and the sample matrix. In general, solubilities of elements are 1- to 3-fold higher than their respective bioaccessibilities. For Fe, the contribution of the soluble fraction is even 8- to 23-fold higher than the contribution of the bioaccessible fraction of this element. The average bioaccessibility of studied elements varied from 3.2 to 89.7%, so the degree of the release of elements in the gastrointestinal tract, potentially absorbed by the human organism, is significantly differentiated. The highest bioaccessibility (> 65%) is noticed for Mg and P, while the lowest (< 60%) refers to Ba, Ca, Cu, Cr, Fe, Mn, Sr and Zn. In view of undertaken experiments, it appears that the slim coffee products are not a rich source of macroand essential elements. Only for Cr, results show that its bioaccessibility assessed highly contribute to the required DRI for this element.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary information is available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.

Acknowledgments

The work was funded by the National Science Centre (decision no. 2013/09/B/NZ9/00122).

References

 Arpadjan, S.; Momchilova, S.; Venelinov, T.; Blagoeva, E.; Nikolova, M.; J. Agric. Food Chem. 2013, 61, 6086.

- Frausto da Silva, J. J. R.; Williams, R. J. P.; *The Biological Chemistry of the Elements. The Inorganic Chemistry of Life*, 2nd ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2001.
- Bermejo, P.; Pena, E. M.; Dominguez, R.; Bermejo, A.; Cocho, J. A.; Fraga, J. M.; *Food Chem.* 2002, 77, 361.
- Bosscher, D.; Lu, Z.; Van Cauwenbergh, R.; Van Caillie-Bertrand, M.; Robberecht, H.; Deelstra, H.; *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2001, *52*, 173.
- Chaiwanon, P.; Puwastien, P.; Nitithamyong, A.; Sirichakwal, P. P.; J. Food Compos. Anal. 2000, 13, 319.
- Shen, L.; Robberecht, H.; Van Dael, P.; Deelstra, H.; *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 1995, 49, 107.
- da Silva, E. N.; Leme, A. B. P.; Cidade, M.; Cadore, S.; *Talanta* 2013, *117*, 184.
- Cilla, A.; Lagarda, M. J.; Alegria, A.; de Ancos, B.; Cano, M. P.; Sanchez-Moreno, C.; Plaza, L.; Barbera, R.; *Food Res. Int.* 2011, 44, 3030.
- Peixoto, R. R. A.; Mazon, E. A. M.; Cadore, S.; J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2013, 24, 884.
- Cautela, D.; Santelli, F.; Boscaino, F.; Laratta, B.; Servilloe, L.; Castaldof, D.; J. Sci. Food Agric. 2009, 89, 2283.
- de Lima, A. C. S.; Soares, D. J.; da Silva, L. M. R.; de Figueiredo, R. W.; de Sousa, P. H. M.; Menezes, E. A.; *Food Chem.* 2014, *161*, 142.
- Haro-Vicente, J. F.; Martinez-Gracia, C.; Ros, G.; *Food Chem.* 2014, 98, 639.
- 13. Khouzam, R. B.; Pohl, P.; Lobinski, R.; Talanta 2011, 86, 425.
- Pohl, P.; Stecka, H.; Greda, K.; Jamroz, P.; *Food Chem.* 2012, 134, 392.
- Powell, J. J.; Burden, T. J.; Thompson, R. P. H.; *Analyst* 1998, 123, 1721.
- Zhou, Y.; Gao, X.; Wu, Ch.; Wy, Y.; J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol. 2014, 28, 173.
- Vitali, D.; Vedrina Dragojevic, I.; Sebecic, B.; *Food Chem.* 2008, 100, 62.
- Camara, F.; Amaro, M. A.; Barbera, R.; Clemente, G.; *Food Chem.* 2005, *92*, 481.
- Gawlik-Dziki, U.; Dziki, D.; Baraniak, B.; Lin, R.; *LWT--Food* Sci. Technol. 2009, 42, 137.
- García-Sartal, C.; Romarís-Hortas, V.; del Carmen Barciela-Alonso, M.; Moreda-Piñeiro, A.; Dominguez-Gonzalez, R.; Bermejo-Barrera, P.; *Microchem. J.* 2011, 98, 91.
- 21. Szymczycha-Madeja, A.; Welna, M.; Pohl, P.; *Food Chem.* **2014**, *146*, 220.
- Romarís-Hortas, V.; García-Sartal, C.; del Carmen Barciela-Alonso, M.; Domínguez-González, R.; Moreda-Piñeiro, A.; Bermejo-Barrera, P.; *Food Chem.* 2011, *124*, 1747.
- Miller, J. N.; Miller, J. C.; Statistics and Chemometrics for Analytical Chemistry, 5th ed.; Pearson Education Ltd.: London, 2005.
- 24. Hunt, J. R.; J. Clin. Nutr. 2003, 78, 633S.

- Kumar, V.; Sinha, A. K.; Makkar, P. S.; Becker, K.; *Food Chem.* 2010, *120*, 945.
- Szentmihalyi, K.; Hajdu, M.; Fodor, J.; Kotai, L.; Blazovics, A.; Samogyi, A.; Then, M.; *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2006, 114, 143.
- 27. Otten, J. J.; Hellwig, J. P.; Meyers, L. D.; *Dietary Reference Intakes: the Essential Guide to Nutrient Requirements*; The National Academies Press: Washington, 2006.

Submitted: March 28, 2015 Published online: June 23, 2015