

**Engenharia Agrícola** 

ISSN: 1809-4430 (on-line)

www.engenhariaagricola.org.br



Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1809-4430-Eng.Agric.v40n4p518-525/2020

# CLASSIFICATION OF ROBUSTA COFFEE FRUITS AT DIFFERENT MATURATION STAGES USING COLORIMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS

# Anderson G. Costa<sup>1\*</sup>, Daniela A. G. de Sousa<sup>1</sup>, Juliana L. Paes<sup>1</sup>, João P. B. Cunha<sup>1</sup>, Marcus V. M. de Oliveira<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Corresponding author. Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro/ Seropédica - RJ, Brasil. E-mail: acosta@ufrrj.br | ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0594-8514

## **KEYWORDS**

# ABSTRACT

artificial vision systems, *Coffea canephora*, principal component analysis. Coffee growers who produce the robusta species (Conilon) have sought to increase productivity and drink quality by improving production techniques. Artificial vision systems can assist in increasing the efficiency of operations associated with crop management. This study aimed to obtain colorimetric characteristics of robusta coffee fruits at different stages of maturity and use them for classifying fruits from digital images. A digital camera with spectral resolution in the visible was used to acquire images from 60 samples of coffee fruits at the green, cherry, and over-ripe stages of maturity. Colorimetric variables were extracted from the RGB, HIS, and L\*a\*b\* color models and correlated with the physicochemical attributes of the fruits. The principal component analysis associated with the k-means technique was applied to the colorimetric variables that showed a significant correlation with the physical-chemical attributes. The colorimetric variables were reduced to a principal component, which presented an explanatory percentage of the variance of 82.33%. The clustering obtained by the application of robusta coffee fruits, with an overall accuracy of 100%.

## INTRODUCTION

The robusta coffee (*Coffea Canephora*) is among the varieties that have gained market in recent years, with an estimated production in the 2019 growing season between 14.36 and 16.33 million bags, which represents an increase of 1.3 and 15.2%, respectively, relative to the 2018 growing season (CONAB, 2019). However, this variety has a reduced quality in terms of aroma and flavor, which makes it be used mainly in the production of blends and instant coffee (Lima Filho et al., 2015).

The commercial value of coffee is directly related to the beverage quality (Boaventura et al., 2018; Guimarães et al., 2019). Fruit ripening at harvest influences the physicochemical attributes of grains and, consequently, their quality (Borges et al., 2002; Fagan et al., 2011). Postharvest processes such as drying and storing agricultural products also negatively interfere with coffee quality when conducted improperly, causing losses to producers (Favarin et al., 2004; Saath et al., 2012).

Therefore, quality control and classification during the harvest and post-harvest stages is a fundamental factor

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro/ Seropédica - RJ, Brasil.

Area Editor: Luís Carlos Cunha Received in: 3-29-2019 Accepted in: 6-2-2020 to obtain better quality coffee, increasing its market value. In this sense, studies that allow the development of technologies specific to robusta coffee are required due to its specificities.

The interest in using artificial vision systems in artificial agriculture has been an important tool in assisting to select and classify agricultural products based on their colorimetric characteristics (Cubero et al., 2011; Rajkumar et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2018). Studies based on the artificial vision for coffee aim to obtain information that can assist in the harvest (Silva et al., 2014; Ramos et al., 2017) and post-harvest processes (Oliveira et al., 2016). These studies use predominantly colorimetric information of the species *Coffea arabica*, with little information on the robusta species in this context.

Systems based on optical techniques are characterized by the possibility of measuring parameters without the need for fruit destruction and can be applied to automated equipment (Ramos et al., 2017; Castro et al., 2019). They also present accurate results, which can be instantaneous compared to methods based on human perception, such as visual and sensory analysis, and laboratory and invasive procedures that hinder classification and increase the costs of analyzing the quality of these products, such as physicochemical analysis.

This study aimed to obtain colorimetric characteristics of robusta coffee fruits at different stages of maturity and use them to classify the fruits from digital images, aiming at the application in automated coffee harvesting and separation systems.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The coffee fruits used as samples in the experiment belonged to the species robusta (*Coffea canephora*), cultivar ENCAPA 8121. The plot had plants aged up to 25 years, with a cultivated area of 3000 m<sup>2</sup> located on the Agroecological Farm of the Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro, Seropédica campus (22°44'38" S and 43°42'27" W). Harvest was carried out manually in 22 plants, totaling 148 L of coffee. The harvested fruits were submitted to the drying process in a natural terrace.

After drying, 60 coffee samples at different stages of maturity (20 samples of green, cherry, and over-ripe coffee) were selected. Each sample had approximately 200 g of fruits grouped by visual color inspection.

## Image acquisition and processing

The images were acquired using a Nikon Coolpix 1820 digital camera, with acquisition capacity in the spectral region of the visible. Two 40-W halogen lamps were used to control ambient lighting. The camera was placed on a tripod at a height of 0.83 m to capture the images of the coffee fruits, which were positioned centrally at 0.50 m from the camera. Both lamps were lit for indoor lighting.

The fine adjustments in the camera settings (focus distance, ISO, zoom, and white balance) were defined from preliminary experiments and maintained constant for all images, seeking to standardize the characteristics for image acquisition. The images were obtained in the RGB color model, where information on the intensity of the red (R), green (G), and blue (B) colors is captured.

Subsequently, the image segmentation process was performed to remove the background, highlighting the coffee sample. The threshold for separating the background of the coffee image was obtained by the Otsu method, which binarized the image by assigning a value of 255 (total white) for the region of interest (fruits) and a value of zero (total black) for the image background. The original image was merged with the binarized image using a logical union operator, resulting in the final image, in which only the fruit region was evidenced (Figure 1).



FIGURE 1. Images of fruits at the three stages of maturity after the binarization process. Green coffee fruits (A), cherry coffee fruits (B), and over-ripe coffee fruits (C).

The mean values of red, green, and blue intensity were obtained for each image after processing. These values allowed calculating the hue (H), saturation (S), and intensity (I) values from the HSI color model, according to eqs (1) to (4) (Pedrini & Schuwartz, 2007):

$$H = \left\{ COS^{-1} \left[ \left( \frac{(R-G)+(R-B)}{2^* \sqrt{(R-G)^2+(R-B)(G-B)}} \right) \right] \right\}, \text{ if } B < G$$
(1)

$$H = \left\{ 360 - COS^{-1} \left[ \left( \frac{(R-G) + (R-B)}{2^* \sqrt{(R-G)^2 + (R-B)(G-B)}} \right) \right] \right\}, \text{ if } B > G (2)$$

$$S = 1 - \left(\frac{3*\min(R,G,B)}{(R+G+B)}\right)$$
(3)

$$I = \frac{R+G+B}{3} \tag{4}$$

Where:

R is the red intensity  $(0 \le R \le 255)$ ; G is the green intensity  $(0 \le G \le 255)$ ; B is the blue intensity  $(0 \le B \le 255)$ ; H is the hue  $(0^\circ \le H \le 360^\circ)$ ;

S is the saturation ( $0 \le S \le 1$ ), and

I is the intensity  $(0 \le I \le 1)$ .

For the analysis in the L\*a\*b\* color model, the RGB images of the fruits were converted according to the standards and equations proposed by the Comission Internationale de l'Eclairage. The RGB images were initially transformed into the XYZ primary color system. The L\*a\*b\* model allowed evaluating the lightness (L\*), the color ranges chroma a\* and b\*, which are associated with chromaticity and range from green to red and blue to yellow, respectively, and the total chromaticity (c\*), according to eqs (5) to (9) (Pedrini & Schuwartz, 2007).

$$L = 166 \left(\frac{Y}{Y_o}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 16, \frac{Y}{Y_o} > 0.008856$$
 (5)

$$L = 903.3 \left(\frac{Y}{Y_o}\right), \text{ if } \frac{Y}{Y_o} \le 0.008856$$
 (6)

$$a = 500 \left[ f\left(\frac{X}{111.16}\right) - f\left(\frac{Y}{100}\right) \right] \tag{7}$$

$$b = 200 \left[ f\left(\frac{X}{111.16}\right) - f\left(\frac{Y}{100}\right) \right]$$
(8)

$$c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \tag{9}$$

Engenharia Agrícola, Jaboticabal, v.40, n.4, p.518-525, jul./aug. 2020

Where:

$$f(t) = t^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
 when t > 0.008856;

 $f(t) = 7.87 + \frac{16}{116}$  when  $t \le 0.008856$ ;

L is the lightness ( $0 \le L \le 100$ );

a is the chromaticity from green to red ( $-120 \le to \le 120$ );

b is the chromaticity from blue to yellow (–120  $\leq$  b  $\leq$  120), and

c is the chromaticity module.

#### Physicochemical parameters of coffee beans

The physicochemical parameters of coffee beans were obtained through the physicochemical analyses of electrical conductivity (EC), total soluble solids (TSS), and total titratable acidity (TTA). These analyses were carried out to relate physicochemical variables at different stages of maturity with the colorimetric variables obtained by digital images. The analyses used the same samples from which the colorimetric information was extracted.

The electrical conductivity test was carried out by the bulk system, according to the methodology recommended by Krzyzanowski et al. (1991) and using an MS Tecnopon Mca 150 digital conductivity meter, set for temperature compensation and electrode with a 1-cm cell constant. The physicochemical variables TSS and TTA were determined according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2010).

#### Analysis of results

Colorimetric variables and physicochemical attributes were submitted to exploratory analysis with the arithmetic mean, maximum and minimum values, standard deviation, and coefficients of variation (CV) calculated for each variable.

The correlation between colorimetric variables and physicochemical attributes as a function of fruit maturity was performed using Pearson's correlation coefficient at a 0.05 significance level.

The colorimetric variables that presented a correlation coefficient higher than 0.50 were selected for a

multivariate analysis from the principal component analysis (PCA) associated with the k-means clustering to develop a protocol for classification from digital images of the robusta coffee fruits according to colorimetric characteristics that vary according to maturity.

The PCA was applied after normalizing the values of the physicochemical attributes aiming at the standardization of the variables from the zero mean and unit variance, according to [eq. (10)].

$$z_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j}{S(x_j)} \tag{10}$$

Where:

 $x_{ij}$  is the original value of the variable;

 $\bar{x}_i$  is the mean of the j variable, and

 $S(x_i)$  is the standard deviation of the j variable.

The results showed the total proportion of the variance explained by each principal component, information necessary to reduce variables that can describe the variance of colorimetric attributes as a function of maturation, the correlation between colorimetric variables and principal components of highest relevance, and the scattering of scores of each fruit in a two-dimensional plane formed by the two principal components of highest relevance.

The clustering was performed using the k-means technique seeking to group fruits of a similar stage of maturity (green, cherry, and over-ripe). Clustering quality was evaluated through the relationship between the number of fruits of the predominant stage of maturity of each group and the total number of evaluated fruits (overall accuracy).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 shows the main statistical descriptors for the colorimetric variables of the set of evaluated fruits. The existence of variability in the colorimetric characteristics, especially when using the variables of the HSI and L\*a\*b\* models is observed. The indicated variation is associated with the difference in the stages of maturity of coffee fruits used in the experiment, showing that classification methods based on data variance, such as PCA, can be used to distinguish the maturity of fruits from the characteristics obtained through digital images.

TABLE 1. Exploratory analysis of colorimetric variables of robusta coffee fruits.

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	CV
Red (R)	10.07	4.89	27.44	3.36	33.35
Green (G)	8.27	4.69	17.13	2.41	29.14
Blue (B)	9.95	6.12	23.40	2.46	24.76
Hue (H)	239.66	78.39	332.91	75.18	31.37
Saturation (S)	0.13	0.01	0.32	0.11	82.60
Intensity (I)	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.01	27.24
Lightness (L*)	21.19	14.73	32.82	3.35	15.82
Chroma a (a*)	8.29	1.96	19.23	5.80	69.92
Chroma b (b*)	-1.73	-5.66	2.46	2.74	-158.40
Chroma c (c*)	8.91	2.77	19.61	5.77	64.72

SD-standard deviation; CV-coefficient of variation.

The means of the evaluated chemical attributes were compared as a function of the three stages of maturity to characterize them according to the fruit quality (Table 2). Among the analyzed parameters, the TSS and TTA of coffee fruits could be differentiated at the three analyzed stages.

	1 4 1 1 1	• • • • •	C / C /	C
IARIE / Comparison	hetween nhysicoch	emical affribilites as a	tunction of stages	of maturity
TIDDD 2. Companson	between physicoen	cinical attributes as a	runenon or stuges	or maturity.
1	1 1		U U	

Chemical attribute	Green	Cherry	Over-ripe
TSS (°Brix)	4.47c	7.79a	5.53b
TTA (mL NaOH 0.1 N 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	333.39c	704.65a	590.04b
EC ( $\mu$ s (cm g) <sup>-1</sup> )	123.50b	204.17a	106.50c

\*Means followed by the same letter in the row are not different regarding the stages of maturity.

The analyzed physicochemical characteristics interfered with the beverage quality of robusta coffee fruits, being influenced by maturity and different genotypes (Lima Filho et al., 2013; Mori et al., 2018). The TTA is influenced by the degree of fruit fermentation because green fruits present low TTA values, increasing during the fruit ripening process, which makes the harvest at the ideal time essential to avoid that the fruit fermentation process harms the beverage quality (Nobre et al., 2011). Regarding TSS, sugar concentrations are directly associated with the stage of maturity of coffee fruits, and the lower their degree of maturity, the lower the contents of total sugars (Scholz et al., 2011).

The electrical conductivity is related to the amount of solute ions inside cells. High EC values in cherry fruits (Table 2) may be associated with the occurrence of fermentation due to the degradation of the cell membranes of fruits caused by excessive exposure to the drying process or presence of fruits with mechanical damage in the evaluated samples (Resende et al., 2011). Changes in physicochemical attributes as a function of ripeness lead to variations in the colorimetric characteristics of fruits during their development. The linear correlation between TSS levels and the spectral response in the red band allowed Silva et al. (2014) to develop maps of spatial variability in a coffee field, highlighting areas with better quality coffee. Costa et al. (2018) observed a correlation between oil accumulation in macaúba palm fruits and the colorimetric characteristics, especially the hue, allowing the detection of the appropriate time for harvest.

The correlations for robusta coffee fruits under the conditions of this research (Table 3) showed that, overall, the colorimetric characteristics were significantly correlated with chemical attributes. Therefore, it demonstrated that the spectral variables were indicators of the quality of coffee fruits that can be used as parameters to classify fruits automatically and non-invasively, that is, without the need to destroy the fruits. In this context, the variables hue (H) and chroma b stood out, as they had significant correlations and with power above 0.65 with the three chemical attributes evaluated.

TABLE 3. Correlation between chemical attributes and colorimetric variables for robusta coffee fruits as a function of the stage of maturity (TSS = total soluble solids; TTS = total titratable acidity; EC = electrical conductivity).

	TSS	TTA	EC
Red (R)	0.31*	0.05 <sup>ns</sup>	0.30 <sup>ns</sup>
Green (G)	-0.29*	-0.52**	0.95**
Blue (B)	$0.17^{ m ns}$	$-0.06^{ m ns}$	0.44 <sup>ns</sup>
Hue (H)	0.67**	0.77**	-0.81**
Saturation (S)	0.76**	0.72**	-0.61*
Intensity (I)	$0.098^{ns}$	$-0.16^{ns}$	0.60*
Lightness (L*)	$-0.17^{ m ns}$	-0.43**	0.87**
Chroma a (a*)	0.75**	0.68**	-0.54*
Chroma b (b*)	-0.65**	-0.75**	0.83**
Chroma c (c*)	0.75**	0.67**	-0.54*

ns - not significant; \* - significant at 5%; \*\* - significant at 1%.

The use of color models to distinguish the stage of maturity has been applied in the selection and quality control processes of different fruits. In general, colorimetric characteristics from the RGB model show significant correlations between parameters related to the fruit quality and TSS (Silva et al., 2014) and TTA (Noh & Lu, 2007).

However, the RGB model was susceptible to variations in lighting that can impair this type of analysis. Parameters obtained through other color models less susceptible to the effect of lightness, such as the H hue (HSI model), which determines the predominant color, tend to improve the correlations between physicochemical attributes and facilitate the distinction of the stage of maturity. The significant and high-power correlation between the H hue and physicochemical attributes observed in Table 3 was also found by Hashimoto et al. (2012) and Iqbal et al. (2016) when using this colorimetric characteristic to distinguish the stage of maturity.

The L\*a\*b\* model, whose all colorimetric variables (L\*, a\*, b\*, and c\*) showed a significant correlation with physicochemical attributes (Table 2), has been used with great success for food quality for presenting a uniformly ordered color distribution regardless of the device used to acquire the image (Pedrini & Schwartz, 2007). Oliveira et al. (2016) also classified green coffees using the colorimetric parameters obtained by the L\*a\*b\* model as

521

input vectors in neural networks, while Aristizabal Torres et al. (2012) correlated the chromaticity a and b with physical and mechanical properties.

The colorimetric variables that showed a significant correlation with TTS, TTA and EC were used to perform the APC, as they were successful in distinguishing the three stages of maturity (Table 2). Thus, the variables green intensity (G), hue (H), saturation (S), and chromaticity (a\*, b\*, and c\*) were selected for the multivariate analysis and later classification according to fruit ripeness.

Table 4 shows the variance and percentage of variance explained by each principal component. The number of principal components necessary to explain the data variability must correspond to an accumulated percentage higher than 70%. Thus, the colorimetric variables obtained at maturity can be reduced by a single variable, which facilitates the implementation of algorithms to classify robusta coffee fruits from images, as PC1 presented an explanatory percentage of 82.33%.

TABLE 4. Variance and percentage of variance explained in each principal component of the colorimetric characteristics of robust coffee fruits as a function of the three stages of maturity.

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
Variance (eigenvalues)	4.94	0.93	0.10	0.03	0.00	0.00
Explanatory percentage (%)	82.33	15.43	1.66	0.52	0.06	0.00

Table 5 shows the correlation between the colorimetric variables and the principal components PC1 and PC2, which were the most representative and together presented 97.76% of the explanatory power of the data variation. The colorimetric variables were predominantly correlated with PC1, except for the green intensity (G), which showed a strong direct correlation with PC2.

TABLE 5. Correlation between principal components (PC1 and PC2) and colorimetric variables.

	G	Н	S	а	b	с
PC1	-0.54	0.97	0.98	0.95	-0.97	0.95
PC2	0.83	-0.10	0.16	0.29	0.17	0.29

Due to the high explanatory power of the variance associated with PC1, Equation (11) allows characterizing the maturity of robusta coffee fruits based on the coefficients (eigenvectors) associated with colorimetric variables. Positive values calculated from this index indicated that the maturity level can be characterized by the variables hue (H), saturation (S), chroma a (a\*), and chroma c (c\*). On the other hand, negative values indicated that the stage of maturity can be characterized by chroma b (b\*) and green intensity (G).

PC1 = -0.242G + 0.435H + 0.441S + 0.429a - 0.435b + 0.429c(11)

Where:

PC1 is the maturity index, and

G (green), H (hue), S (saturation), a (chroma a), b (chroma b), and c (chroma c) are the values of normalized colorimetric variables.

The scattering of scores (Figure 2) in the direction of PC1 shows that the negative values were associated with coffee fruits at the green stage, while positive values were found at the cherry stage. Values close to zero were associated with the over-ripe stage. In practical terms, the sum of hue (H), saturation (S), chroma a (a), and chroma c ( $c^*$ ) higher than the sum of chroma b ( $b^*$ ) and green intensity ( $c^*$ ) indicates fruits at the cherry stage. Otherwise, the fruits are associated with the green stage.



FIGURE 2. Scattering of scores of each coffee fruit in the two-dimensional plane of the first and second principal components (PC1 and PC2) grouped according to variation with the stage of maturity.

Figure 2 also shows that the classification generated by the k-means algorithm had an overall accuracy of 100%, that is, fruits of the same stage of maturity were classified in the same group. The result showed that the colorimetric characteristics of robusta fruits were strong indicators to be used as input parameters in the automated selection and harvesting systems. The reduction of the number of variables through the PCA application allows the generation of models for classification of a lesser degree, facilitating the computational implementation and reducing the need for processing and storage of data in hardware, allowing the development of systems with simpler components and lower costs.

The use of classification systems through machine learning algorithms and artificial intelligence has made a great contribution to technological development for analyzing the quality of agricultural products. As the clustering accuracy obtained in this research, recent studies have also shown protocols with a high degree of overall accuracy for fruit classification based on artificial vision. Mohammad et al. (2015) used the linear discriminant analysis, Castro et al. (2019) used the support-vector machine technique, and Toon et al. (2019) used artificial neural networks and obtained classification accuracy rates above 90.00% for persimmon, currant, and tomatoes fruits, respectively.

The genetic improvement of robusta coffee has led to increased productivity and beverage quality (Ferrão et al., 2008; Ramalho et al., 2016). However, technological advances are fundamental for the crop to reach higher production levels. Research such as the one presented here, although potentially applied to other coffee species, such as *Coffea arabica*, contributes to the understanding and development of equipment applicable in operations involving the management, harvesting, and post-harvesting of this species.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The colorimetric characteristics obtained from models varied according to the evaluated stages of maturity, which allowed the use of classification techniques through digital images.

The chemical attributes of total soluble solids (TSS) and titratable acidity (TTA) characterized the difference of fruits at the green, cherry, and over-ripe stages. In general, the colorimetric characteristics showed a significant correlation with chemical attributes, standing out hue (H) and chroma b (b\*).

The principal component analysis showed that all the variation observed by the colorimetric characteristics as a function of the maturity of robusta coffee fruits could be reduced into one variable, as the PC1 presented an explanation of the variance of 82.33%.

Robusta coffee fruits were grouped into three classes according to their maturity (green, cherry, and over-ripe) when dispersed in a two-dimensional plane formed by PC1 and PC2 using the k-means algorithm, with an overall accuracy index of 100%.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Carlos Chagas Filho Research Support Foundation of the State of Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ) and the Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro for supporting this research.

### REFERENCES

AOAC - Association of Official Analytical Chemists (2010) Official methods of analysis. 18.ed. Washington, AOAC, 18<sup>th</sup> ed, 1094p. Aristizabal Torres ID, Carvajal Herrera JJ, Oliveros Tascon CE (2012) Physical and mechanical properties correlation of coffee fruit (Coffea arabica) during its ripening. Dyna 79(172):148-155.

Boaventura PSM, Abdalla CC, Araujo CL, Arakelian JS (2018) Value co-creation in the specialty coffee value chain: the third-wave coffee movement. Revista de Administração de Empresas 58(3):254-266.

Borges FB, Jorge JT, Noronha R (2002) Influência da idade da planta e da maturação dos frutos no momento da colheita na qualidade do café. Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos 22(2):158-163.

CONAB - Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento (2019) Acompanhamento da Safra Brasileira Café Safra 2019: Primeiro Levantamento, CONAB, 62p.

Castro W, Oblitas J, De-La-Torre M, Cotrina C, Bazán K, Avila-George, H (2019) Classification of Cape Gooseberry Fruit According to its Level of Ripeness Using Machine Learning Techniques and Different Color Spaces. IEEE Access 7(4):27389-27400.

Costa A G, Pinto FAC, Motoike SY, Braga Júnior RA, Gracia LMN (2018) Classification of Macaw Palm Fruits from Colorimetric Properties for Determining the Harvest Moment. Engenharia Agrícola 38(4):634-641.

Cubero S, Aleixos N, Moltó E, Gómez-Sanchis J, Blasco J (2011) Advances in machine vision applications for automatic inspection and quality evaluation of fruits and vegetables. Food and bioprocess technology 4(4):487-504.

Fagan EB, Souza CHE, Pereira NMB, Machado VJ (2011) Efeito do tempo de formação do grão de café (*Coffea* sp) na qualidade da bebida. Bioscience Journal 27(5):729-738. Favarin JL, Villela ALG, Moraes MHD, Chamma HMCP, Costa JD, Dourado-Neto D (2004) Qualidade da bebida de café de frutos cereja submetidos a diferentes manejos póscolheita. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira 39(2):187-192.

Ferrão RG, Cruz CD, Ferreira A, Cecon PR, Ferrão MAG, Fonseca FA, Carneiro PCS, Silva MF (2008) Genetic parameters in Conilon coffee. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 43(1):61-69.

Guimarães ER, Leme PHMV, Rezende DC, Pereira SP, Santos AC (2019) The brand new Brazilian specialty coffee market. Journal of Food Products Marketing 25(1):49-71.

Krzyzanowski FC, França Neto JDB, Henning AA (1991) Relato dos testes de vigor disponíveis para as grandes culturas. Informativo Abrates 1(2):15-50.

Hashimoto A, Suehara KI, Kameoka T (2012) Quantitative evaluation of surface color of tomato fruits cultivated in remote farm using digital camera images. SICE Journal of Control, Measurement, and System Integration 5(1):18-23.

Iqbal SM, Gopal A, Sankaranarayanan PE, Nair AB (2016) Classification of selected citrus fruits based on color using machine vision system. International journal of food properties 19(2):272-288.

Lima Filho T, Della Lucia SM, Henriques Saraiva S, Sartori MA (2013) Composição físico-química e qualidade sensorial de café conilon produzido no Estado do Espírito Santo e submetido a diferentes formas de processamento. Semina: Ciências Agrárias 34(4):1723-1730.

Lima Filho T, Lucia SMD, Saraiva SH, Lima RM (2015) Physico-chemical characterization of espresso coffee beverage prepared from blends of arabica and conilon coffees. Revista Ceres 62(4):333-339.

Mohammad V, Kheiralipour K, Ghasemi-Varnamkhasti M (2015) Detecting maturity of persimmon fruit based on image processing technique. Scientia Horticulturae, 184:123-128.

Mori ALB, Oliveira Garcia A, Ferrão MAG, Fonseca AFA, Ferrão RG, Benassi MT (2018) Perfil sensorial de bebidas de café Conilon do Estado do Espírito Santo. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 53(9):1061-1069.

Nobre GW, Borém FM, Isquierdo EP, Pereira RGFA, Oliveira PD (2011) Composição química de frutos imaturos de café arábica (*Coffea arabica* L.) processados por via seca e via úmida. Coffee Science 6(2):107-113.

Noh HK, Lu R (2007) Hyperspectral laser-induced fluorescence imaging for assessing apple fruit quality. Postharvest Biology and Technology 43(2):193-201.

Oliveira EM, Leme DS, Barbosa BHG, Rodarte MP, Pereira RGFA (2016) A computer vision system for coffee beans classification based on computational intelligence techniques. Journal of Food Engineering 171:22-27.

Pedrini H, Schwartz WR (2007) Análise de imagens digitais: princípios, algoritmos e aplicações. São Paulo, Thomson Learning Edições, 508 p.

Ramalho AR, Rocha RB, Souza FF, Veneziano W, Teixeira AL (2016) Progresso genético da produtividade de café beneficiado com a seleção de clones de cafeeiro 'Conilon'. Revista Ciência Agronômica (4693):516-523.

Ramos PJ, Prieto FA, Montoya EC, Oliveros CE (2017) Automatic fruit count on coffee branches using computer vision. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 137:9-22.

Rajkumar P, Wang N, EImasry G, Raghavan GSV, Gariepy Y (2012) Studies on banana fruit quality and maturity stages using hyperspectral imaging. Journal of Food Engineering 108(1):194-200.

Resende O, Afonso Júnior PC, Corrêa PC, Siqueira VC (2011) Qualidade do café Conilon submetido à secagem em terreiro híbrido e de concreto. Ciência e Agrotecnologia 35(2):327-335.

Saath R, Biaggioni MAM, Borém FM, Broetto F, Fortunato VA (2012) Alterações na composição química e sensorial de café (*Coffea arabica* L.) nos processos póscolheita. Revista Energia na Agricultura 27(2):96-112. Silva SDA, Queiroz DM, Pinto FAC, Santos NT (2014) Coffee quality and its relationship with Brix degree and colorimetric information of coffee cherries. Precision agriculture 15(5):543-554.

Scholz MBS, Figueiredo VRG, Silva JVN, Kitzberger CSG (2011) Características Físico-Químicas de Grãos Verdes e Torrados de Cultivares de Café (*Coffea Arabica* L.) do Iapar. Revista Coffee Science 6(3):245-255. Toon OP, Zakaria MA, Nasir AFA, Majeed APA, Tan CY, Ng LCY (2019) Autonomous Tomato Harvesting Robotic System in Greenhouses: Deep Learning Classification. Mekatronika 1(1):80-86.

Wan P, Toudeshki A, Tan H, Ehsani R (2018) A methodology for fresh tomato maturity detection using computer vision. Computers and electronics in agriculture 146:43-50.